

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/653,037	BARRESI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(2) Jeffrey Cox.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr requested that Mr Cox fax a copy of the Matsuda translation that was discussed in the remarks of 1/9/08 as it did not appear to be in the file with the submission. Mr Cox agreed to fax the document. Exr indicated that it would be made of record.